SAR TEST REPORT

For

Shenzhen Eview GPS Technology

Personal Mobile Alarm System

Test Model: EV-07B-4G

List Model No.: N/A

Prepared for	:	Shenzhen Eview GPS Technology
Address	:	#1203 Building 2, GuoLe Technology Park, Lirong Road, Dalang, Longhua, Shenzhen, China

Prepared by	:	Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd.
Address	:	101, 601, Xingyuan Industrial Park, Gushu Community, Xixiang Street, Bao’ an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China
Tel	:	(86)755-82591330
Fax	:	(86)755-82591332
Web	:	www.LCS-cert.com
Mail	:	webmaster@LCS-cert.com

Date of receipt of test sample	:	April 16, 2019
Number of tested samples	:	1
Serial number	:	Prototype
Date of Test	:	April 16, 2019~ May 17, 2019
Date of Report	:	May 20, 2019

SAR TEST REPORT

Report Reference No. ....:	**LCS190415004AEB**
Date Of Issue ....:	May 20, 2019
Testing Laboratory Name........:	**Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd.**
Address ....:	101, 601, Xingyuan Industrial Park, Gushu Community, Xixiang Street, Bao’an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China
Testing Location/ Procedure.......:	Full application of Harmonised standards ■ Partial application of Harmonised standards □ Other standard testing method □
Applicant’s Name.....................:	**Shenzhen Eview GPS Technology**
Address ....:	#1203 Building 2, GuoLe Technology Park, Lirong Road, Dalang, Longhua, Shenzhen, China
Test Specification:	
SAR Max. Values is....................:	0.611 W/kg (10g) for Body.
Standard ....:	EN62209-2:2010&EN50566:2017&EN50663:2017&AS/NZS 2772.2:2016 Amd 1:2018
Test Report Form No. ....:	LCSEMC-1.0
TRF Originator ....:	Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd.
Master TRF ....:	Dated 2017-12

Test Item Description. ....:	**Personal Mobile Alarm System**
Trade Mark ....:	N/A
Model/Type Reference ....:	EV-07B-4G
Ratings ....:	DC 3.7V by Rechargeable Li-ion Battery (800mAh) Recharged by DC 5V 1000mA Adapter
Result ....:	**Positive**

Compiled by:	Supervised by:	Approved by:
Vera Deng	Aking Jin	Gavin Liang
Vera Deng/ File administrators	Aking Jin/ Technique principal	Gavin Liang/ Manager

SAR -- TEST REPORT

Test Report No. : LCS190415004AEB	May 20, 2019 Date of issue

Test Model.........................	:	EV-07B-4G
EUT.......................................	:	Personal Mobile Alarm System
Applicant..............................	**:**	**Shenzhen Eview GPS Technology**
Address.................................	:	#1203 Building 2, GuoLe Technology Park, Lirong Road, Dalang, Longhua, Shenzhen, China
Telephone.............................	:	/
Fax..	:	/
Manufacturer.......................	**:**	**Shenzhen Eview GPS Technology**
Address.................................	:	#1203 Building 2, GuoLe Technology Park, Lirong Road, Dalang, Longhua, Shenzhen, China
Telephone.............................	:	/
Fax..	:	/
Factory.................................	**:**	**Shenzhen Eview GPS Technology**
Address.................................	:	#1203 Building 2, GuoLe Technology Park, Lirong Road, Dalang, Longhua, Shenzhen, China
Telephone.............................	:	/
Fax..	:	/

Test Result	**Positive**

The test report merely corresponds to the test sample.
It is not permitted to copy extracts of these test result without the written permission of the test laboratory.

Revison History

Revision	Issue Date	Revisions	Revised By
000	May 20, 2019	Initial Issue	Gavin Liang

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.TEST STANDARDS AND TEST DESCRIPTION

1.1. Test Standards

The tests were performed according to following standards:

EN 62209-2:2010:Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and bodymounted wireless communication devices.Human models,instrumentation, and procedures.Part 2: Procedure to determine thespecific absorption rate (SAR) forwireless communication devices usedin close proximity to the human body(frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)
EN 50663:2017:Generic standard for assessment of low power electronic and electrical equipment related to human exposure restrictions for electromagnetic fields (10 MHz - 300 GHz)
EN 50566:2017:Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of wireless communication devices with thebasic restrictions and exposure limit values related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields in the frequency range from 30 MHz to 6 GHz: hand-held and body mounted devices in close proximity to the human body
AS/NZS 2772.2:2016 Amd 1:2018:Radiofrequency fields, Part 2: Principles and methods of measurement and computation - 3 kHz to 300 GHz

1.2. Test Description

The EUT battery must be fully charged and checked periodically during the test to ascertain uniform power

1.3. Product Description

Product Name:	Personal Mobile Alarm System
Test Model:	EV-07B-4G
Additional Model No.:	/
Model Declaration:	/
Hardware Version	EV07B-LTE1-V2.01
Software Version:	V1.0.6.25
Power supply:	DC 3.7V by Rechargeable Li-ion Battery (800mAh) Recharged by DC 5V 1000mA Adapter
WCDMA	
Operation Band:	☐WCDMA Band II(US-Band) ☒WCDMA Band V(US-Band) ☒WCDMA Band I(EU-Band) ☒WCDMA Band VIII(EU-Band)
Power Class:	Class 3
Uplink	WCDMA Band I: 1920MHz ~ 1980MHz WCDMA Band VIII: 880MHz~915MHz
Downlink	WCDMA Band I: 2110MHz ~ 2170MHz WCDMA Band VIII: 925MHz~960MHz
Modulation Type:	WCDMA: BPSK; HSDPA/HSUPA: BPSK
WCDMA Release Version:	R8
DC-HSUPA Release Version:	Not Supported
Antenna Description	PIFA Antenna, -1.42dBi (max.) For WCDMA Band I; -2.67dBi (max.) For WCDMA Band VIII
LTE	
Operation Band:	☒E-UTRA Band 3(EU-Band) ☒E-UTRA Band 5(No EU-Band) ☒E-UTRA Band 28(EU-Band)
Power Class:	Class 3
FDD Band	Uplink: E-UTRA Band 3: 1710MHz ~ 1785MHz E-UTRA Band 28: 703MHz ~ 748MHz Downlink: E-UTRA Band 3: 1805MHz ~ 1880MHz E-UTRA Band 28: 758MHz ~ 803MHz
Modulation Type:	QPSK/16-QAM
LTE Release Version:	R9
Antenna Description:	PIFA Antenna, -1.57dBi (max.) For LTE Band 3; -3.26dBi (max.) For LTE Band 28
WIFI	
Supported type:	802.11b/802.11g/802.11n(HT20)
Modulation:	802.11b: DSSS, 802.11g/802.11n:OFDM
Operation frequency:	802.11b/802.11g/802.11n(HT20): 2412MHz~2472MHz;
Channel number:	13 Channel for 20MHz bandwidth(2412~2472MHz) (Not applicable 802.11n-HT40)
Channel separation:	5MHz
Antenna Description:	PIFA Antenna, -0.87dBi (Max.)

Bluetooth	
Version:	V4.0
Modulation:	GFSK for Bluetooth V4.0(DTS)
Operation frequency:	2402MHz~2480MHz
Channel number:	40
Channel separation:	2MHz
Antenna Description	PIFA Antenna, -0.87dBi (Max.)

1.4. Summary SAR Results

Table 1:Max. SAR Measured(10g)

Exposure Configuration	Technolohy Band	Highest Measured SAR 10g(W/kg)
Body-worn	WCDMA Band VIII	0.030
	WCDMA Band I	0.064
	E-UTRA Band 3	0.366
	E-UTRA Band 28	0.611
	WLAN2450	0.313

The SAR values found for the EUT below the maximum recommended levels of 2.0W/kg as averaged over for 10g tissue according to EN62209.
The maximum SAR value is obtained at the case of (Table 1), and the maximum value is:0.611W/kg (10g) for Body.
The EUT has one LTE/WCDMA card slot (SIM1) . The result for LTE/ WCDMA card slot(SIM1) is the worst case which was only recorded.

1.5. EUT operation mode

The EUT has been tested under typical operating condition and The Transmitter was operated in the normal operating mode. The TX frequency was fixed which was for the purpose of the measurements.

1.6. EUT configuration

The following peripheral devices and interface cables were connected during the measurement:

● - supplied by the manufacturer
○ - supplied by the lab

○	Power Cable	Length (m) :	/
		Shield :	/
		Detachable :	/
○	Multimeter	Manufacturer :	/
		Model No. :	/

2.TEST ENVIRONMENT

2.1. Test Facility

The test facility is recognized, certified, or accredited by the following organizations:

Site Description

EMC Lab. : FCC Registration Number is 254912.
Industry Canada Registration Number is 9642A-1.
EMSD Registration Number is ARCB0108.
UL Registration Number is 100571-492.
TUV SUD Registration Number is SCN1081.
TUV RH Registration Number is UA 50296516-001.
NVLAP Accreditation Code is 600167-0.
FCC Designation Number is CN5024.
CAB identifier is CN0071.

2.2. Environmental conditions

During the measurement the environmental conditions were within the listed ranges:

Temperature:	18-25 ° C
Humidity:	40-65 %
Atmospheric pressure:	950-1050mbar

2.3. SAR Limits

CE Limit (10g Tissue)

EXPOSURE LIMITS	SAR (W/kg)	
	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)
Spatial Average(averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4
Spatial Peak(averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	2.0	10
Spatial Peak(hands/wrists/ feet/anklesaveraged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.
Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

2.4. Equipments Used during the Test

Test Equipment	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Calibration Date	Calibration Due
PC	Lenovo	G5005	MY42081102	N/A	N/A
SAR Measurement system	SATIMO	4014_01	SAR_4014_01	N/A	N/A
Signal Generator	Angilent	E4438C	MY42081396	06/16/2018	06/15/2019
Multimeter	Keithley	MiltiMeter 2000	4059164	06/16/2018	06/15/2019
S-parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES	US38432944	11/15/2018	11/14/2019
Wideband Radia Communication Tester	R&S	CMW500	1201.0002K50	11/15/2018	11/14/2019
E-Field PROBE	SATIMO	SSE2	SN 31/17 EPGO324	10/08/2018	10/07/2019
DIPOLE 750	SATIMO	SID 750	SN 30/14 DIP 0G750-302	10/01/2015	09/30/2018
DIPOLE 900	SATIMO	SID 900	SN 07/14 DIP 0G900-300	10/01/2018	09/30/2021
DIPOLE 1800	SATIMO	SID 1800	SN 07/14 DIP 1G800-301	10/01/2018	09/30/2021
DIPOLE 2000	SATIMO	SID 2000	SN 07/14 DIP 2G000-305	10/01/2018	09/30/2021
DIPOLE 2450	SATIMO	SID 2450	SN 07/14 DIP 2G450-306	10/01/2018	09/30/2021
Power meter	Agilent	E4419B	MY45104493	06/16/2018	06/15/2019
Power meter	Agilent	E4418B	GB4331256	06/16/2018	06/15/2019
Power sensor	Agilent	E9301H	MY41497725	06/16/2018	06/15/2019
Power sensor	Agilent	E9301H	MY41495234	06/16/2018	06/15/2019
Directional Coupler	MCLI/USA	4426-20	0D2L51502	06/16/2018	06/15/2019
Mobile Phone POSITIONING DEVICE	SATIMO	MSH98	SN 40/14 MSH98	N/A	N/A
SAM PHANTOM	SATIMO	SAM117	SN 40/14 SAM117	N/A	N/A
COMOSAR OPEN Coaxial Probe	SATIMO	OCPG 68	SN 40/14 OCPG68	N/A	N/A
Liquid measurement Kit	HP	85033D	3423A03482	N/A	N/A

3.SAR MEASUREMENTS SYSTEM CONFIGURATION

3.1. SAR Measurement Set-up

The OPENSAR system for performing compliance tests consist of the following items:

A standard high precision 6-axis robot (KUKA) with controller and software.

KUKA Control Panel (KCP)

A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with a Video Positioning System(VPS).

The stress sensor is composed with mechanical and electronic when the electronic part detects a change on the electro-mechanical switch,It sends an “Emergency signal” to the robot controller that to stop robot’s moves

A computer operating Windows XP.

OPENSAR software

Remote control with teaches pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.

The SAM phantom enabling testing left-hand right-hand and body usage.

The Position device for handheld EUT

Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes .

System validation dipoles to validate the proper functioning of the system.



3.2. OPENSAR E-field Probe System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EPGO324 (manufactured by SATIMO), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation.

Probe Specification

ConstructionSymmetrical design with triangular core
Interleaved sensors
Built-in shielding against static charges
PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)

CalibrationISO/IEC 17025 calibration service available.

Frequency	450 MHz to 6 GHz; Linearity:0.25dB(450 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	0.25 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	0.01W/kg to > 100 W/kg; Linearity: 0.25 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16mm) Tip diameter: 5 mm (Body: 8 mm) Distance from probe tip to sensor centers: 2.5 mm
Application	General dosimetry up to 6 GHz Dosimetry in strong gradient fields Compliance tests of Handheld terminals

Isotropic E-Field Probe

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.

The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:



3.3. Phantoms

The SAM Phantom SAM117 is constructed of a fiberglass shell ntegrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is in compliance with the specification set in IEEE P1528 and CENELEC EN62209-1 , EN62209-2:2010.The phantom enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of allpredefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robo

System checking was performed using the flat section, whilst Head SAR tests used the left and right head profile sections. Body SAR testing also used the flat section between the head profiles.

SAM Twin Phantom

3.4. Device Holder

In combination with the Generic Twin PhantomSAM117, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation points is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatedly positioned according to the FCC and CENELEC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).

Device holder supplied by SATIMO

3.5. Scanning Procedure

The procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps

Power Reference Measurement
The referenceand drift jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method.

Area Scan
The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot.Thesophisticated interpolation routines implemented in OPENSAR software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. The scan area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the area scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid was at to 15 mm by 15 mm and can be edited by a user.

Zoom Scan
Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default zoom scan measures 5 x 5 x 4 points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maximum found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. If the preceding Area Scan job indicates more then one maximum, the number of Zoom Scans has to be enlarged accordingly (The default number inserted is 1).

Power Drift measurement
The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. In the properties of the Drift job, the user can specify a limit for the drift and have OPENSAR software stop the measurements if this limit is exceeded.

3.6. Data Storage and Evaluation

Data Storage
The OPENSAR software stores the acquired data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files . The software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verification of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of incorrect parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with a wrong crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be re-evaluated.

The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type ([V/m], [A/m], [°C], [mW/g], [mW/cm²], [dBrel], etc.). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or show meaningless results, e.g., a SAR output in a lossless media will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

Data Evaluation
The OPENSAR software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters:	- Sensitivity	Normi, ai0, ai1, ai2
	- Conversion factor	ConvFi
	- Diode compression point	Dcpi
Device parameters:	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters:	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the OPENSAR components. In the direct measuring mode of the multimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics.If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

With Vi = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)
Ui = input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)
cf = crest factor of exciting field
dcpi = diode compression point

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

$$\mathrm{E-fieldprobes:} \qquad E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

$$\mathrm{H-fieldprobes:} \qquad H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1} f + a_{i2} f^2}{f}$$

With Vi = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)
Normi = sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)
[mV/(V/m)2] for E-field Probes
ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution
aij = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes
f = carrier frequency [GHz]
Ei = electric field strength of channel i in V/m
Hi = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1'000}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g
Etot = total field strength in V/m
σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]
ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm3

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

3.7. Position of the wireless device in relation to the phantom

General considerations

This standard specifies two handset test positions against the head phantom – the “cheek” position and the “tilt” position.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field

$$P_{(\mathrm{pwe})} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{3770} \text{ or } P_{(\mathrm{pwe})} = H_{tot}^2 .37.7$$

Where P_{pwe}=Equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm2
E_{tot}=total electric field strength in V/m
H_{tot}=total magnetic field strength in A/m





W_t Width of the handset at the level of the acoustic
W_b Width of the bottom of the handset
A Midpoint of the width w_t of the handset at the level of the acoustic output
B Midpoint of the width w_b of the bottom of the handset

Picture 1-a Typical "fixed" case handset Picture 1-b Typical "clam-shell" case handset



Picture 2 Cheek position of the wireless device on the left side of SAM



Picture 3 Tilt position of the wireless device on the left side of SAM

Body-worn device
A typical example of a body-worn device is a Handheld terminal, wireless enabled PDA or other battery operated wireless device with the ability to transmit while mounted on a person's body using a carry accessory approved by the wireless device manufacturer.



Picture 4 Test positions for body-worn devices

Devices with hinged or swivel antenna(s)
For devices that employ one or more external antennas with variable positions (e.g. antenna extended, retracted, rotated), these shall be positioned in accordance with the user instructions provided by the manufacturer. For a device with only one antenna, if no intended antenna position is specified, tests shall be performed if applicable in both the horizontal and vertical positions relative to the phantom, and with the antenna oriented away from the body of the DUT (Figure 5) and/or with the antenna extended and retracted such as to obtain the highest exposure condition. For antennas that may be rotated through one or two planes, an evaluation should be made and documented in the measurement report to the highest exposure scenario and only that position(s) need(s) to be tested. For devices with multiple detachable antennas see provisions of 6.2.2.



Figure 5– Device with swivel antenna (example of desktop device)

Body-supported device
A typical example of a body supported device is a wireless enabled laptop device that among other orientations may be supported on the thighs of a sitting user. To represent this orientation, the device shall be positioned with its base against the flat phantom. Other orientations may be specified by the manufacturer in the user instructions. If the intended use is not specified, the device shall be tested directly against the flat phantom in all usable orientations.
The screen portion of the device shall be in an open position at a 90° angle as seen in Figure 6a (left side), or at an operating angle specified for intended use by the manufacturer in the operating instructions. Where a body supported device has an integral screen required for normal operation, then the screen-side will not need to be tested if the antenna(s) integrated in it ordinarily remain(s) 200 mm from the body. Where a screen mounted antenna is present, the measurement shall be performed with the screen against the flat phantom as shown in Figure 6a) (right side), if operating the screen against the body is consistent with the intended use.
Other devices that fall into this category include tablet type portable computers and credit card transaction authorisation terminals, point-of-sale and/or inventory terminals. Where these devices may be torso or limb-supported, the same principles for body-supported devices are applied.
The example in Figure 6b) shows a tablet form factor portable computer for which SAR should be separately assessed with

c). each surface and
d). the separation distances
positioned against the flat phantom that correspond to the intended use as specified by the manufacturer. If the intended use is not specified in the user instructions, the device shall be tested directly against the flat phantom in all usable orientations.
Some body-supported devices may allow testing with an external power supply (e.g. a.c. adapter) supplemental to the battery, but it shall be verified and documented in the measurement report that SAR is still conservative.
For devices that employ an external antenna with variable positions (e.g. swivel antenna), see 6.1.4.5 and Figure 5.



a) Portable computer with external antenna plug-in-radio-card (left side) or with internal antenna located in screen section (right side)



b) Tablet form factor portable computer



c) Wireless credit card transaction authorisation terminal

Figure 6 – Test positions for body supported devices

Desktop device

A typical example of a desktop device is a wireless enabled desktop computer placed on a table or desk when used.

The DUT shall be positioned at the distance and in the orientation to the phantom that corresponds to the intended use as specified by the manufacturer in the user instructions. For devices that employ an external antenna with variable positions, tests shall be performed for all antenna positions specified. Picture 14 shows positions for desktop device SAR tests. If the intended use is not specified, the device shall be tested directly against the flat phantom.



Picture 7 Test positions for desktop devices

Front-of-face device

A typical example of a front-of-face device is a two-way radio that is held at a distance from the face of the user when transmitting. In these cases the device under test shall be positioned at the distance to the phantom surface that corresponds to the intended use as specified by the manufacturer in the user instructions (Figure 8a). If the intended use is not specified, a separation distance of 25 mm between the phantom surface and the device shall be used.



a) Two-way radios



b) Still cameras and video cameras

Figure 8 – Test positions for front-of-face devices

Other devices that fall into this category include wireless-enabled still cameras and video cameras that can send data to a network or other device (Figure 8b). In the case of a devicewhose intended use requires a separation distance from the user (e.g., device with a viewing screen), this shall be positioned at the distance to the phantom surface that corresponds to the intended use as specified by the manufacturer in the user instructions (Figure 8b, left side). If the intended use is not specified, a separation distance of 25 mm between the phantom surface and the device shall be used.
For a device whose intended use requires the user's face to be in contact with the device (e.g., device with an optical viewfinder), this shall be placed directly against the phantom (Figure 8b, right side).

Hand-held usage of the device, not at the head or torso
Additional studies remain needed for devising a representative method for evaluating SAR in the hand of hand-held devices. Future versions of this standard are intended to contain a test method based on scientific data and rationale. Annex J presents the currently available test procedure.

Limb-worn device
A limb-worn device is a unit whose intended use includes being strapped to the arm or leg of the user while transmitting (except in idle mode). It is similar to a body-worn device. Therefore, the test positions of 6.1.4.4 also apply. The strap shall be opened so that it is divided into two parts as shown in Figure 9. The device shall be positioned directly against the phantom surface with the strap straightened as much as possible and the back of the device towards the phantom.
If the strap cannot normally be opened to allow placing in direct contact with the phantom surface, it may be necessary to break the strap of the device but ensuring to not damage the antenna.



Figure 9 – Test position for limb-worn devices

Clothing-integrated device

A typical example of a clothing-integrated device is a wireless device (Handheld terminal) integrated into a jacket to provide voice communications through an embedded speaker and microphone. This category also includes headgear with integrated wireless devices.

All wireless or RF transmitting components shall be placed in the orientation and at the separation distance to the phantom surface that correspond to intended use of the device when it is integrated into the clothing (Figure 10).



Figure 10– Test position for clothing-integrated wireless devices

3.8. Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms

The liquid used for the frequency range of 700-3000 MHz consisted of water, sugar, salt and Cellulose. The liquid has been previously proven to be suited for worst-case. The Table 3 and 4 shows the detail solution. It's satisfying the latest tissue dielectric parameters requirements proposed by the IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209.

Table 2. Composition of the Head Tissue Equivalent Matter

Frequency (MHz)	Bactericide	DGBE	HEC	NaCl	Sucrose	1,2-Propanediol	X100	Water	Conductivity	Permittivity
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	σ	εr
750	/	/	/	0.79	/	64.81	/	34.40	0.97	41.8
835	/	/	/	0.79	/	64.81	/	34.40	0.97	41.8
900	/	/	/	0.79	/	64.81	/	34.40	0.97	41.8
1800	/	13.84	/	0.35	/	/	30.45	55.36	1.38	41.0
1900	/	13.84	/	0.35	/	/	30.45	55.36	1.38	41.0
2000	/	7.99	/	0.16	/	/	19.97	71.88	1.55	41.1
2450	/	7.99	/	0.16	/	/	19.97	71.88	1.88	40.3
2600	/	7.99	/	0.16	/	/	19.97	71.88	1.88	40.3

Table 3. Targets for tissue simulating liquid

Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Liquid Type (σ)	± 5% Range	Permittivity (ε)	± 5% Range
300	Head	0.87	0.83～0.91	45.30	43.04～47.57
450	Head	0.87	0.83～0.91	43.50	41.33～45.68
835	Head	0.90	0.86～0.95	41.50	39.43～43.58
900	Head	0.97	0.92～1.02	41.50	39.43～43.58
1450	Head	1.20	1.14～1.26	40.50	38.48～42.53
1800	Head	1.40	1.33～1.47	40.00	38.00～42.00
1900	Head	1.40	1.33～1.47	40.00	38.00～42.00
1950	Head	1.40	1.33～1.47	40.00	38.00～42.00
2000	Head	1.40	1.33～1.47	40.00	38.00～42.00
2450	Head	1.80	1.71～1.89	39.20	37.24～41.16
3000	Head	2.40	2.28～2.52	38.50	36.58～40.43
300	Body	0.87	0.83～0.91	45.30	43.04～47.57
450	Body	0.87	0.83～0.91	43.50	41.33～45.68
835	Body	0.90	0.86～0.95	41.50	39.43～43.58
900	Body	0.97	0.92～1.02	41.50	39.43～43.58
1450	Body	1.20	1.14～1.26	40.50	38.48～42.53
1800	Body	1.40	1.33～1.47	40.00	38.00～42.00
1900	Body	1.40	1.33～1.47	40.00	38.00～42.00
1950	Body	1.40	1.33～1.47	40.00	38.00～42.00
2000	Body	1.40	1.33～1.47	40.00	38.00～42.00
2100	Body	1.49	1.42～1.56	39.80	37.81～41.79
2450	Body	1.80	1.71～1.89	39.20	37.24～41.16
2600	Body	1.96	1.86～2.06	39.00	37.05～40.95
3000	Body	2.40	2.28～2.52	38.50	36.58～40.43
3500	Body	2.91	2.77～3.06	37.90	36.01～39.80
4000	Body	3.43	3.26～3.61	37.40	35.53～39.27
4500	Body	4.44	3.74～4.14	36.80	34.96～38.64
5000	Body	4.45	4.23～4.67	36.20	34.39～38.01
5200	Body	4.66	4.43～4.89	36.00	34.20～37.80
5400	Body	4.86	4.62～5.10	35.80	34.01～37.59
5600	Body	5.07	4.82～5.32	35.50	33.73～37.28
5800	Body	5.27	5.01～5.53	35.30	33.54～37.07
6000	Body	5.48	5.21～5.75	35.10	33.35～36.86

3.9. Dielectric Performance

Test Condition and Test Date

Test Engineer:Vera Deng			
Liquid Frequency	Measurement temperature	Measurement humidity	Measurement Date
750 MHz	24.8℃	53.9%	April 16, 2019
900 MHz	23.4℃	53.9%	April 18, 2019
1800 MHz	23.8℃	53.1%	April 19, 2019
2000 MHz	23.5℃	54.1%	May 11, 2019
2450 MHz	23.8℃	53.1%	May 17, 2019

Dielectric Performance of Head Tissue Simulating Liquid

Measured Frequency (MHz)	Target Tissue		Measured Tissue			
	σ	ε_r	σ	Dev.	ε_r	Dev.
750	0.89	42.06	0.88	-1.12%	41.90	-0.38%
900	0.97	41.5	0.95	-2.06%	41.87	0.89%
1800	1.40	40.0	1.41	0.71%	39.55	-1.13%
2000	1.40	40.0	1.43	2.14%	41.26	3.15%
2450	1.80	39.2	1.79	-0.56%	39.64	1.12%

3.10. System Check



Figure B.1 – Set-up for the system check

Test set-up for the system check

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:

1 Signal Generator
2 Amplifier
3 Directional Coupler
4 Power Meter
5 Calibrated Dipole

The output power on dipole port must be calibrated to 20 dBm (100 mW) before dipole is connected.

Photo of Dipole Setup

System Validation of Head

	Frequency (MHz)	Target value (W/kg)		Measured value (W/kg)		Deviation	
		1 g Average	10 g Average	1 g Average	10 g Average	1 g Average	10 g Average
Verification results	750	8.49	5.55	8.71	5.53	2.591	-0.360
	900	10.9	6.99	10.6	6.78	-2.75%	-3.00%
	1800	38.4	20.1	40.2	18.9	4.69%	-5.97%
	2000	41.1	21.1	42.6	20.2	3.65%	-4.27%
	2450	52.4	24.0	49.8	23.5	-4.96%	-2.08%

3.11. Measurement Procedures

Tests to be performed

In order to determine the highest value of the peak spatial-average SAR of a handset, all device positions, configurations and operational modes shall be tested for each frequency band according to steps 1 to 3 below. A flowchart of the test process is shown in Picture 11

Step 1: The tests described in 11.2 shall be performed at the channel that is closest to the centre of the transmit frequency band (f_c) for:

a) all device positions (cheek and tilt, for both left and right sides of the SAM phantom, as described in Chapter 8),
b) all configurations for each device position in a), e.g., antenna extended and retracted, and
c) all operational modes, e.g., analogue and digital, for each device position in a) and configuration in b) in each frequency band.
d) If more than three frequencies need to be tested according to 11.1 (i.e., N_c> 3), then allfrequencies, configurations and modes shall be tested for all of the above test conditions.

Step 2: For the condition providing highest peak spatial-average SAR determined in Step 1, perform all tests described in 11.2 at all other test frequencies, i.e., lowest and highest frequencies. In addition, for all other conditions (device position, configuration and operational mode) where the peak spatial-average SAR value determined in Step 1 is within 3 dB of the applicable SAR limit, it is recommended that all other test frequencies shall be tested as well.

Step 3: Examine all data to determine the highest value of the peak spatial-average SAR found in Steps 1 to 2.



Picture 11 Block diagram of the tests to be performed



Figure 12a – Tests to be performed



Figure 12b – General procedure

Picture 12 Block diagram of the tests to be performed

Measurement procedure

The following procedure shall be performed for each of the test conditions (see Picture 11) described in 11.1:

a) Measure the local SAR at a test point within 4 mm or less in the normal direction from the inner surface of the phantom.

b) Measure the two-dimensional SAR distribution within the phantom (area scan procedure). The boundary of the measurement area shall not be closer than 20 mm from the phantom side walls. The distance between the measurement points should enable the detection of the location of localmaximum with an accuracy of better than half the linear dimension of the tissue cube after interpolation. A maximum grip spacing of 20 mm for frequencies below 3 GHz and (60/f [GHz]) mm for frequencies of 3GHz and greater is recommended. The maximum distance between the geometrical centre of the probe detectors and the inner surface of the phantom shall be 5 mm for frequencies below 3 GHz andδln(2)/2 mm for frequencies of 3 GHz and greater, whereδis theplane wave skin depth and In(x) is the natural logarithm. The maximum variation of thesensor-phantom surface shall be ±1 mm for frequencies below 3 GHz and ±0.5 mm forfrequencies of 3 GHz and greater. At all measurement points the angle of the probe with respect tothe line normal to the surface should be less than 5°. If this cannot be achieved for ameasurement distance to the phantom inner surface shorter than the probe diameter, additionalmeasurement distance to the phantom inner surface shorter than the probe diameter, additional

c) From the scanned SAR distribution, identify the position of the maximum SAR value, in addition identify the positions of any local maxima with SAR values within 2 dB of the maximum value that are not within the zoom-scan volume; additional peaks shall be measured only when the primary peak is within 2 dB of the SAR limit. This is consistent with the 2 dB threshold already stated;

d) Measure the three-dimensional SAR distribution at the local maxima locations identified in step

e) The horizontal grid step shall be (24 / f[GHz]) mm or less but not more than 8 mm. The minimum zoom size of 30 mm by 30 mm and 30 mm for frequencies below 3 GHz. For higher frequencies, the minimum zoom size of 22 mm by 22 mm and 22 mm. The grip step in the vertical direction shall be (8-f[GHz]) mm or less but not more than 5 mm, if uniform spacing is used. If variable spacing is used in the vertical direction, the maximum spacing between the two closest measured points to the phantom shell shall be (12 / f[GHz]) mm or less but not more than 4 mm, and the spacing between father points shall increase by an incremental factor not exceeding 1.5. When variable spacing is used, extrapolation routines shall be tested with the same spacing as used in measurements. The maximum distance between the geometrical centre of the probe detectors and the inner surface of the phantom shall be 5 mm for frequencies below 3 GHz andδln(2)/2 mm for frequencies of 3 GHz and greater, where δis the plane wave skin depth and In(x)is the natural logarithm. Separate grids shall be centered on each of the local SAR maxima foundin step c). Uncertainties due to field distortion between the media boundary and the dielectricenclosure of the probe should also be minimized, which is achieved is the distance between thephantom surface and physical tip of the probe is larger than probe tip diameter. Other methodsmay utilize correction procedures for these boundary effects that enable high precisionmeasurements closer than half the probe diameter. For all measurement points, the angle of theprobe with respect to the flat phantom surface shall be less than 5. If this cannot be achievedan additional uncertainty evaluation is needed.

f) Use post processing(e.g. interpolation and extrapolation) procedures to determine the localSAR values at the spatial resolution needed for mass averaging.

WCDMA Measurement Procedures for SAR

The following procedures are applicable to WCDMA handsets operating under 3GPP Release99,Release 5 and Release 6. The default test configuration is to measure SAR with an establishedradio link between the DUT and a communication test set using a 12.2kbps RMC (referencemeasurement channel) configured in Test Loop Mode 1. SAR is selectively confirmed for otherphysical channel configurations (DPCCH & DPDCH), HSDPA and HSPA (HSUPA/HSDPA)modes according to output power, exposure conditions and device operating capabilities. Bothuplink and downlink should be configured with the same RMC or AMR, when required. SAR forRelease 5 HSDPA and Release 6 HSPA are measured using the applicable FRC (fixed referencechannel) and E-DCH reference channel configurations. Maximum output power is verified
according to applicable versions of 3GPP TS 34.121 and SAR must be measured according tothesemaximum output conditions. When Maximum Power Reduction (MPR) is not implementedaccording to Cubic Metric (CM) requirements for Release 6 HSPA, the following procedures do notapply.

For Release 5 HSDPA Data Devices:

Sub-test	β_c	β_d	β_d (SF)	β_c / β_d	β_{hs}	CM/dB
1	2/15	15/15	64	2/15	4/15	0.0
2	12/15	15/15	64	12/15	24/25	1.0
3	15/15	8/15	64	15/8	30/15	1.5
4	15/15	4/15	64	15/4	30/15	1.5

For Release 6 HSUPA Data Devices

Sub-test	β_c	β_d	β_d (SF)	β_c / β_d	β_{hs}	β_{ec}	β_{ed}	β_{ed} (SF)	β_{ed} (codes)	CM (dB)	MPR (dB)	AG Index	E-TFCI
1	11/15	15/15	64	11/15	22/15	209/225	1039/225	4	1	1.0	0.0	20	75
2	6/15	15/15	64	6/15	12/15	12/15	12/15	4	1	3.0	2.0	12	67
3	15/15	9/15	64	15/9	30/15	30/15	βed1:47/15 βed2 :47/15	4	2	2.0	1.0	15	92
4	2/15	15/15	64	2/15	4/15	4/15	56/75	4	1	3.0	2.0	17	71
5	15/15	15/15	64	15/15	24/15	30/15	134/15	4	1	1.0	0.0	21	81

4.TEST CONDITIONS AND RESULTS

4.1. Conducted Power Results

During the process of testing, the EUT was controlled via Rhode & Schwarz Digital Radio Communication tester (CMW500) to ensure the maximum power transmission and proper modulation. This result contains conducted output power for the EUT. In all cases, the measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

The conducted power measurement results for WCDMA

Item	band	FDD Band VIII result (dBm)			FDD Band I result (dBm)		
		Test Channel			Test Channel		
	Sub-test	2713	2788	2862	9612	9750	9888
WCDMA	\	23.22	23.33	23.28	23.26	23.34	23.22
HSDPA	1	22.15	22.23	22.18	22.22	22.25	22.18
	2	22.08	21.92	22.07	22.00	21.95	21.99
	3	21.91	21.74	21.70	21.76	21.83	21.83
	4	21.70	21.39	21.47	21.44	21.53	21.77
HSUPA	1	22.29	22.36	22.27	22.27	22.40	22.22
	2	22.12	22.29	22.04	22.00	22.15	22.07
	3	21.98	22.10	22.04	22.08	22.07	21.83
	4	21.88	22.16	21.87	21.84	22.15	21.69
	5	21.85	21.97	21.87	21.66	22.02	21.54

The conducted power measurement results for WLAN

Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Test Rate Data
802.11b	1	2412	16.68	1 Mbps
	7	2442	15.29	1 Mbps
	13	2472	17.11	1 Mbps
802.11g	1	2412	11.69	6 Mbps
	7	2442	13.60	6 Mbps
	13	2472	11.86	6 Mbps
802.11n(20MHz)	1	2412	11.34	6.5 Mbps
	7	2442	13.79	6.5 Mbps
	13	2472	12.42	6.5 Mbps

The conducted power measurement results for BluetoothV4.0

Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Conducted Output Power (dBm)
BLE	00	2402	1.68
	19	2440	2.41
	39	2480	2.24

Note: 1. beause the ouput power(eirp) of Bluetooth of the EUT is less than 20mW(13dBm), so standalone SAR are exempt according EN50663.
2.The EUT contains G-sensor and it do not affect the output power.

The conducted power measurement results for LTE

LTE-BAND3

Channel Bandwidth	Channel	RB allocation		Average Power (dBm) QPSK	Average Power (dBm) 16-QAM
		RB Size	RB Offset		
1.4MHz	Low range	1	0	22.84	22.81
			max	22.89	22.86
		Partial	0	22.52	22.46
			max	22.34	22.27
	Mid range	1	0	22.26	22.33
			max	22.70	22.66
		Partial	0	22.45	22.42
			max	22.34	22.41
	High range	1	0	22.43	22.48
			max	22.17	22.20
		Partial	0	22.13	22.14
			max	22.59	22.62
5 MHz	Low range	1	0	22.31	22.30
			max	22.48	22.42
		Partial	0	22.05	22.02
			max	22.05	22.03
	Mid range	1	0	22.75	22.73
			max	22.33	22.33
		Partial	0	22.58	22.51
			max	22.84	22.84
	High range	1	0	22.02	22.08
			max	22.83	22.89
		Partial	0	22.82	22.83
			max	22.35	22.35
20MHz	Low range	1	0	22.27	22.27
			max	22.53	22.53
		Partial	0	22.66	22.70
			max	22.45	22.46
	Mid range	1	0	22.02	22.00
			max	22.40	22.38
		Partial	0	22.34	22.40
			max	22.52	22.52
	High range	1	0	23.00	22.99
			max	23.13	23.09
		Partial	0	22.93	23.00
			max	22.95	22.97

LTE-BAND 28

Channel Bandwidth	Channel	RB allocation		Average Power (dBm) QPSK	Average Power (dBm) 16-QAM
		RB Size	RB Offset		
3MHz	Low range	1	0	22.47	22.45
			max	22.45	22.49
		Partial	0	22.27	22.26
			max	22.12	22.15
	Mid range	1	0	22.83	22.83
			max	22.47	22.42
		Partial	0	22.44	22.46
			max	22.30	22.33
	High range	1	0	22.50	22.57
			max	22.26	22.30
		Partial	0	22.20	22.16
			max	22.55	22.53
5 MHz	Low range	1	0	22.80	22.72
			max	22.33	22.32
		Partial	0	22.36	22.36
			max	22.38	22.45
	Mid range	1	0	22.68	22.66
			max	22.32	22.36
		Partial	0	22.53	22.52
			max	22.94	22.88
	High range	1	0	22.34	22.35
			max	22.53	22.53
		Partial	0	22.69	22.76
			max	22.20	22.28
20MHz	Low range	1	0	22.39	22.36
			max	22.04	22.00
		Partial	0	22.43	22.42
			max	22.57	22.60
	Mid range	1	0	22.13	22.15
			max	22.43	22.39
		Partial	0	22.69	22.69
			max	22.52	22.58
	High range	1	0	22.43	22.47
			max	22.35	22.35
		Partial	0	22.53	22.54
			max	22.29	22.26

4.2. Test reduction procedure

Maximum power level

The maximum power level, $P_{max,m}$, that can be transmitted by a device before the SAR averaged over a mass, m, exceeds a given limit, SAR_{lim}, can be defined. Any device transmitting at power levels below $P_{max,m}$ can then be excluded from SAR testing. The lowest possible value for $P_{max,m}$ is: $P_{max,m} = SAR_{lim}*$ m.

When working alone, the averages transmit power ofBT module should be less than 20mW. According tothe test results, when working alone, the testing of BT module is not necessary.

Simultaneous Multi-band Transmission SAR Analysis List of Mode for Simultaneous Multi-band

Transmission

No.	Configurations	Head SAR	Body SAR
1	WCDMA + WLAN	Yes	Yes
2	LTE+ WLAN	Yes	Yes
3	WCDMA + Bluetooth	Yes	Yes
4	LTE+ Bluetooth	Yes	Yes

Remark:

One way of determining the threshold power level available to the secondary transmitter ($P_{available}$) is to calculate it from the measured peak spatial-average SAR of the primary transmitter (SAR_1) according to the equation:

$$P_{available} = P_{th,m} \times (SAR_{lim} - SAR_1) / SAR_{lim}$$

where $P_{th,m}$ is the threshold exclusion power level taken from Annex B of EN 50663 for the frequency of the secondary transmitter at the separation distance used in the testing.

For simultaneous transmission analysis, Bluetooth SAR is below:

Bluetooth:

	Average Power (dBm)	Output Power (mW)	$P_{th,m}$ (mW)	SAR_{lim} (W/kg)	SAR_1 (W/kg)	$P_{available}$ (mW)
Body	2.41	1.742	20	2.0	0.611	13.89

The Bluetooth output power of the secondary transmitter is less than $P_{available}$, So SAR measurement for the secondary transmitter is not necessary.

Maximum SAR value and the sum of the 10-g SAR for WWAN &WLAN - Body

WWAN Band	WWAN Max SAR (W/kg)	2.4GWLAN Max SAR (W/kg)	Max SAR Sum (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)
WCDMA900	0.030	0.313	0.343	2.0
WCDMA2100	0.064	0.313	0.377	
LTE 3	0.366	0.313	0.679	
LTE 28	0.611	0.313	0.924	

Remark:

1 WLAN and Bluetooth share the same antenna, and cannot transmit simultaneously.
2 WCDMA and LTE share the same antenna, and cannot transmit simultaneously.
3 The maximum SAR summation is calculated based on the same configuration and test position.
If 10g-SAR summation < 2.0W/kg , simultaneous SAR measurement is not necessary.
4 When the maximum SAR summation ≥1.0W/kg on Body, WWAN, WLAN2.4G for low and high Channels are necessary to be tested and the test results please refer to the SAR Measurement Results.

4.3. SAR Measurement Results

SAR Values forWCDMABand VIII -Body

Frequency		Mode/Band	Test Position	Spacing(mm)	SAR(10g) (W/kg)	Power Drift(%)	Ref.Plot #
MHz	Channel						
897.4	2788	RMC	Front	0	0.015	2.85	--
897.4	2788	RMC	Rear	0	**0.303**	-1.03	1

Note:

1.When the 10-g SAR is ≤ 1.0W/kg, testing for low and high channel is optional.

2.The default test configuration is to measure SAR with an established radio link between the EUT and a communication test set using a 12.2kbps RMC(reference measurement channel) configuration in test loop mode

SAR Values forWCDMA Band I-Body

Frequency		Mode/Band	Test Position	Spacing(mm)	SAR(10g) (W/kg)	Power Drift(%)	Ref.Plot #
MHz	Channel						
1950.0	9750	RMC	Front	0	**0.064**	-0.83	2
1950.0	9750	RMC	Rear	0	0.055	3.95	--

Note:

1.When the 10-g SAR is ≤ 1.0W/kg, testing for low and high channel is optional.

2.The default test configuration is to measure SAR with an established radio link between the EUT and a communication test set using a 12.2kbps RMC(reference measurement channel) configuration in test loop mode.

SAR Values for WLAN2450 Band -Body

Frequency		Mode/Band	Test Position	Spacing(mm)	SAR(10g) (W/kg)	Power Drift(%)	Ref.Plot #
MHz	Channel						
2442.0	7	802.11b	Front	0	**0.313**	-0.37	3
2442.0	7	802.11b	Rear	0	0.264	2.65	--

Note:

1.When the 10-g SAR is ≤ 1.0W/kg, testing for low and high channel is optional.

2. The result was tested under the lowest data rate 1Mbps for 802.11b.

SAR Values for E-UTRA Band3 -Body

Frequency		Mode/Band	Test Position	Spacing(mm)	SAR(10g) (W/kg)	Power Drift(%)	Ref.Plot #
MHz	Channel						
1747.5	19575	RMC	Front	0	**0.366**	0.66	4
1747.5	19575	RMC	Rear	0	0.282	-3.50	--

Note:

1.When the 10-g SAR is ≤ 1.0W/kg, testing for low and high channel is optional.

2.The default test configuration is to measure SAR with an established radio link between the EUT and a communication test set using a 12.2kbps RMC(reference measurement channel) configuration in test loop mode.

.

SAR Values for E-UTRA Band 28 -Body

Frequency		Mode/Band	Test Position	Spacing(mm)	SAR(10g) (W/kg)	Power Drift(%)	Ref.Plot #
MHz	Channel						
725.50	27210	RMC	Front	0	0.222	-3.00	--
725.50	27210	RMC	Rear	0	**0.611**	-1.65	5

Note:

1.When the 10-g SAR is ≤ 1.0W/Kg, testing for low and high channel is optional.

2.The default test configuration is to measure SAR with an established radio link between the EUT and a communication test set using a 12.2kbps RMC(reference measurement channel) configuration in test loop mode.

4.4. Measurement Uncertainty (450MHz-6GHz)

The following measurement uncertainty levels have been estimated for tests performed on the EUT as specified in IEEE 1528: 2013.This uncertainty represents an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2.

Uncertainty Component	Tol (+- %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	Veff
Measurement System								
Probe calibration	5.8	N	1	1	1	5.80	5.80	∞
Axial Isotropy	3.5	R	√3	$\sqrt{1-C_p}$	$\sqrt{1-C_p}$	1.43	1.43	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	5.9	R	√3	$\sqrt{C_p}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	2.41	2.41	∞
Boundary effect	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System detection limits	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Readout Electronics	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	∞
Response Time	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Integration Time	1.4	R	√3	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
RF ambient Conditions - Noise	3.0	R	√3	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
RF ambient Conditions - Reflections	3.0	R	√3	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	1.4	R	√3	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	1.4	R	√3	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Max. SAR Evaluation	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Test sample Related								
Device positioning	2.6	N	1	1	1	2.6	2.6	11
Device holder	3.0	N	1	1	1	3.0	3.0	7
Drift of output power	5.0	N	√3	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters								
Phantom uncertainty	4.00	R	√3	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
Liquid conductivity (target)	2.50	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	5
Liquid conductivity (meas)	4.00	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.92	1.04	5
Liquid Permittivity (target)	2.50	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	∞
Liquid Permittivity (meas)	5.00	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	∞
Combined Standard		RSS	$U_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i^2 U_i^2}$			10.63 %	10.54%	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)	U = k UC , k=2					21.26 %	21.08%	

4.5. System Check Results

Test mode:750MHz
Product Description:Validation
Model:Dipole SID750
E-Field Probe: SSE2(SN 31/17 EPGO324)
Test Date: April 16, 2019

Medium(liquid type)	HSL_750
Frequency (MHz)	750.0000
Relative permittivity (real part)	41.90
Conductivity (S/m)	0.88
Input power	100mW
Crest Factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.45
Variation (%)	-0.170000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.552559
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.871285
SURFACE SAR	**VOLUME SAR**
	



Test mode:900MHz
Product Description:Validation
Model:Dipole SID900
E-Field Probe:SSE2(SN 31/17 EPGO324)
Test Date: April 18, 2019

Medium(liquid type)	HSL_900
Frequency (MHz)	900.0000
Relative permittivity (real part)	41.87
Conductivity (S/m)	0.95
Input power	100mW
Crest Factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.54
Variation (%)	1.570000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.678402
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	1.055213
SURFACE SAR	**VOLUME SAR**
	



Test mode:1800MHz
Product Description:Validation
Model:Dipole SID1800
E-Field Probe:SSE2(SN 31/17 EPGO324)
Test Date: April 19, 2019

Medium(liquid type)	HSL_1800
Frequency (MHz)	1800.0000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.55
Conductivity (S/m)	1.41
Input power	100mW
Crest Factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.65
Variation (%)	1.040000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	1.887620
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	4.017692
SURFACE SAR	**VOLUME SAR**
	
	

Test mode:2000MHz
Product Description:Validation
Model:Dipole SID2000
E-Field Probe:SSE2(SN 31/17 EPGO324)
Test Date: May 11, 2019

Medium(liquid type)	HSL_2000
Frequency (MHz)	2000.0000
Relative permittivity (real part)	41.26
Conductivity (S/m)	1.43
Input power	100mW
Crest Factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.83
Variation (%)	1.750000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.019630
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	4.264319
SURFACE SAR	**VOLUME SAR**
	



Test mode:2450MHz
Product Description:Validation
Model:Dipole SID2450
E-Field Probe:SSE2(SN 31/17 EPGO324)
Test Date: May 17, 2019

Medium(liquid type)	HSL_2450
Frequency (MHz)	2450.0000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.64
Conductivity (S/m)	1.79
Input power	100mW
Crest Factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.91
Variation (%)	2.740000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.347910
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	4.976820
SURFACE SAR	**VOLUME SAR**
	



4.6. SAR Test Graph Results

#1 Test Mode:WCDMA 900MHz,Mid channel(Body-LCD Down)
Product Description: Personal Mobile Alarm System
Model:EV-07B-4G
Test Date: April 18, 2019

Medium(liquid type)	HSL_900
Frequency (MHz)	897.4000
Relative permittivity (real part)	41.87
Conductivity (S/m)	0.95
E-Field Probe	SN 31/17 EPGO324
Crest Factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.54
Sensor	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-1.030000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.030471
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.053375
SURFACE SAR	**VOLUME SAR**









#2 Test Mode:WCDMA2100MHz,Mid channel(Body-LCD Up)
Product Description: Personal Mobile Alarm System
Model: EV-07B-4G
Test Date: May 11, 2019

Medium(liquid type)	HSL_2000
Frequency (MHz)	1950.0000
Relative permittivity (real part)	41.26
Conductivity (S/m)	1.43
E-Field Probe	SN 31/17 EPGO324
Crest Factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.83
Sensor	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-0.830000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.063724
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.153338
SURFACE SAR	**VOLUME SAR**









#3 Test Mode:802.11b, Mid channel(Body-LCD Up)
Product Description:Personal Mobile Alarm System
Model:EV-07B-4G
Test Date: May 17, 2019

Medium(liquid type)	HSL_2450
Frequency (MHz)	2442.0000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.64
Conductivity (S/m)	1.79
E-Field Probe	SN 31/17 EPGO324
Crest Factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.91
Sensor	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-0.370000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.312714
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.763848
SURFACE SAR	**VOLUME SAR**
	
	

#4 Test Mode: E-UTRA3,Mid channel(Body-LCD Up)
Product Description: Personal Mobile Alarm System
Model: EV-07B-4G
Test Date: April 19, 2019

Medium(liquid type)	HSL_1800
Frequency (MHz)	1747.5000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.55
Conductivity (S/m)	1.41
E-Field Probe	SN 31/17 EPGO324
Crest Factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.65
Sensor	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.660000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.366057
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.944271
SURFACE SAR	**VOLUME SAR**
	
	

#5 Test Mode: E-UTRA28,Middle channel(Body-LCD Down)
Product Description:Molbile phone
Model:Wren
Test Date: April 16, 2019

Medium(liquid type)	HSL_750
Frequency (MHz)	725.5000
Relative permittivity (real part)	41.90
Conductivity (S/m)	0.88
E-Field Probe	SN 45/15 EPGO281
Crest Factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	4.20
Sensor	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-1.650000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.611196
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	1.093280
SURFACE SAR	**VOLUME SAR**
	
	

5.ALIBRATION CETIFICATE

SARTIMO Calibration Certificate-Extended Dipole Calibrations

According to KDB 450824 D02, Dipoles must be recalibrated at least once every three years; however, immediate re-calibration is required for following conditions. The test laboratory must ensure that the required supporting information and documentation have been included in the SAR report to qualify for extended 3-year calibration interval.

1) When the most recent return-loss, measured at least annually, deviates by more than 20% from theprevious measurement (i.e. 0.2 of the dB value) or not meeting the required -20 dB return-loss specification
2) When the most recent measurement of the real or imaginary parts of the impedance, measured at least annually, deviates by more than 5Ω from the previous measurement

Summary Result:

SID750			
Frquency	Return Loss(dB)	Requirement(dB)	Impedence
750	-34.48	-20	51.2Ω+1.4jΩ

SID900			
Frquency	Return Loss(dB)	Requirement(dB)	Impedence
900	-23.55	-20	52.8Ω-5.4jΩ

SID1800			
Frquency	Return Loss(dB)	Requirement(dB)	Impedence
1800	-20.26	-20	43.1Ω+6.9jΩ

SID 2000			
Frquency	Return Loss(dB)	Requirement(dB)	Impedence
2000	-23.67	-20	50.8Ω-6.2jΩ

SID 2450			
Frquency	Return Loss(dB)	Requirement(dB)	Impedence
2450	-25.59	-20	44.7Ω-1.1jΩ

5.1 Probe-EPGO324 Calibration Certificate

COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.281.2.18.SATU.A

SHENZHEN LCS COMPLIANCE TESTING LABORATORY LTD.
1F., XINGYUAN INDUSTRIAL PARK, TONGDA ROAD, BAO'AN BLVD
BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, CHINA
MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE
SERIAL NO.: SN 31/17 EPGO324

Calibrated at MVG US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144

ACCREDITED
Calibration CERT #2246-02

Calibration Date: 10/08/2018

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR Dosimetric E-Field Probe calibration performed in MVG USA using the CALISAR / CALIBAIR test bench, for use with a COMOSAR system only. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.

COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT Ref: ACR.281.2.18.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	10/8/2018	JS
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	10/8/2018	JS
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	10/8/2018	Kim Rutkowski

	Customer Name
Distribution :	Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd.

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	10/8/2018	Initial release

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SSE2
Serial Number	SN 31/17 EPGO324
Product Condition (new / used)	New
Frequency Range of Probe	0.15 GHz-6GHz
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.189 MΩ Dipole 2: R2=0.203 MΩ Dipole 3: R3=0.218 MΩ

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.

Figure 1 – *MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole*

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 - 360 degrees in 15 degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis (0°–180°) in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis (0°–360°).

3.5 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide					
ERROR SOURCES	**Uncertainty value (%)**	**Probability Distribution**	**Divisor**	**ci**	**Standard Uncertainty (%)**
Incident or forward power	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Reflected power	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Liquid conductivity	5.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.887%
Liquid permittivity	4.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.309%
Field homogeneity	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Field probe positioning	5.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.887%

Field probe linearity	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Combined standard uncertainty					5.831%
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2					12.0%

5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters	
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR

Normx dipole 1 ($\mu V/(V/m)^2$)	Normy dipole 2 ($\mu V/(V/m)^2$)	Normz dipole 3 ($\mu V/(V/m)^2$)
0.80	0.83	0.68

DCP dipole 1 (mV)	DCP dipole 2 (mV)	DCP dipole 3 (mV)
95	90	93

Calibration curves ei=f(V) (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain H-field value using the formula:

$$E = \sqrt{E_1^{\ 2} + E_2^{\ 2} + E_3^{\ 2}}$$

Calibration curves



5.2 LINEARITY



Linearity:+/-1.13% (+/-0.05dB)

5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID

Liquid	Frequency (MHz +/- 100MHz)	Permittivity	Epsilon (S/m)	ConvF
HL450	450	42.17	0.86	1.56
BL450	450	57.65	0.95	1.60
HL750	750	40.03	0.93	1.45
BL750	750	56.83	1.00	1.50
HL850	835	42.19	0.90	1.55
BL850	835	54.67	1.01	1.59
HL900	900	42.08	1.01	1.54
BL900	900	55.25	1.08	1.60
HL1800	1800	41.68	1.46	1.65
BL1800	1800	53.86	1.46	1.68
HL1900	1900	38.45	1.45	1.86
BL1900	1900	53.32	1.56	1.93
HL2000	2000	38.26	1.38	1.83
BL2000	2000	52.70	1.51	1.89
HL2300	2300	39.44	1.62	1.95
BL2300	2300	54.52	1.77	2.01
HL2450	2450	37.50	1.80	1.91
BL2450	2450	53.22	1.89	1.95
HL2600	2600	39.80	1.99	1.89
BL2600	2600	52.52	2.23	1.94
HL5200	5200	35.64	4.67	1.50
BL5200	5200	48.64	5.51	1.56
HL5400	5400	36.44	4.87	1.44
BL5400	5400	46.52	5.77	1.47
HL5600	5600	36.66	5.17	1.48
BL5600	5600	46.79	5.77	1.53
HL5800	5800	35.31	5.31	1.50
BL5800	5800	47.04	6.10	1.55

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 9mW/kg

COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.281.2.18.SATU.A

5.4 ISOTROPY

HL900 MHz
- Axial isotropy: 0.05 dB
- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.07 dB



HL1800 MHz
- Axial isotropy: 0.06 dB
- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.07 dB



HL5600 MHz

- Axial isotropy: 0.06 dB
- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.10 dB



6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	**Manufacturer / Model**	**Identification No.**	**Current Calibration Date**	**Next Calibration Date**
Flat Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2016	02/2019
Reference Probe	MVG	EP 94 SN 37/08	10/2017	10/2019
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	01/2017	01/2020
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	01/2017	01/2020
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	01/2017	01/2020
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	01/2017	01/2020
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Control Company	150798832	11/2017	11/2020

5.2 SID750 Dipole Calibration Ceriticate

SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.287.3.14.SATU.A

SHENZHEN LCS COMPLIANCE TESTING LABORATORY LTD.
1F., XINGYUAN INDUSTRIAL PARK, TONGDA ROAD, BAO'AN BLVD
BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, CHINA
SATIMO COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE
FREQUENCY: 750 MHZ
SERIAL NO.: SN 07/14 DIP 0G750-302

Calibrated at SATIMO US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144

Calibration CERT #2246-02

10/01/2018

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	10/14/2018	
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	10/14/2018	
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	10/14/2018	Kim Rutkowski

	Customer Name
Distribution :	Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd.

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	10/14/2018	Initial release

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR 750 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE
Manufacturer	Satimo
Model	SID750
Serial Number	SN 07/14 DIP 0G750-302
Product Condition (new / used)	New

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.

Figure 1 – *Satimo COMOSAR Validation Dipole*

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constucted as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %
10 g	20.1 %

6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
750	-34.80	-20	50.7 Ω + 1.6 jΩ

6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	**L** mm		**h** mm		**d** mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.	PASS	100.0 ±1 %.	PASS	6.35 ±1 %.	PASS
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.		30.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2600	48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε_r')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %	PASS	0.89 ±5 %	PASS
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	
1800	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %		1.80 ±5 %	
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 42.1 sigma : 0.89
Distance between dipole center and liquid	15.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm

Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	750 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49	8.38 (0.84)	5.55	5.53 (0.55)
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4		24	
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	





7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (εr')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 ±5 %		0.80 ±5 %	
300	58.2 ±5 %		0.92 ±5 %	
450	56.7 ±5 %		0.94 ±5 %	
750	55.5 ±5 %	PASS	0.96 ±5 %	PASS
835	55.2 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
900	55.0 ±5 %		1.05 ±5 %	
915	55.0 ±5 %		1.06 ±5 %	
1450	54.0 ±5 %		1.30 ±5 %	
1610	53.8 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1800	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
1900	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2000	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2100	53.2 ±5 %		1.62 ±5 %	
2450	52.7 ±5 %		1.95 ±5 %	
2600	52.5 ±5 %		2.16 ±5 %	
3000	52.0 ±5 %		2.73 ±5 %	
3500	51.3 ±5 %		3.31 ±5 %	
5200	49.0 ±10 %		5.30 ±10 %	
5300	48.9 ±10 %		5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %		5.53 ±10 %	
5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %		5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %		6.00 ±10 %	

7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 56.6 sigma : 0.99
Distance between dipole center and liquid	15.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	750 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

5.3 SID900 Dipole Calibration Ceriticate

SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.287.5.14.SATU.A

SHENZHEN LCS COMPLIANCE TESTING LABORATORY LTD.
1F., XINGYUAN INDUSTRIAL PARK, TONGDA ROAD, BAO'AN BLVD
BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, CHINA
SATIMO COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE
FREQUENCY: 900 MHZ
SERIAL NO.: SN 07/14 DIP 0G900-300

Calibrated at SATIMO US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144

ACCREDITED
Calibration CERT #2246-02

10/01/2018

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	10/14/2018	
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	10/14/2018	
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	10/14/2018	Kim Rutkowski

	Customer Name
Distribution :	Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd.

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	10/14/2018	Initial release

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR 900 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE
Manufacturer	Satimo
Model	SID900
Serial Number	SN 07/14 DIP 0G900-300
Product Condition (new / used)	New

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.

Figure 1 – *Satimo COMOSAR Validation Dipole*

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constucted as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %
10 g	20.1 %

6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
900	-23.55	-20	52.8 Ω - 5.4 jΩ

6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	L mm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.	PASS	83.3 ±1 %.	PASS	3.6 ±1 %.	PASS
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.		30.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2600	48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε_r')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %	PASS	0.97 ±5 %	PASS
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	
1800	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %		1.80 ±5 %	
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 42.5 sigma : 0.96
Distance between dipole center and liquid	15.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm

SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.287.5.14.SATU.A

Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	900 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9	11.12 (1.11)	6.99	7.01 (0.70)
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4		24	
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	





Page: 8/11

7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε_r')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 ±5 %		0.80 ±5 %	
300	58.2 ±5 %		0.92 ±5 %	
450	56.7 ±5 %		0.94 ±5 %	
750	55.5 ±5 %		0.96 ±5 %	
835	55.2 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
900	55.0 ±5 %	PASS	1.05 ±5 %	PASS
915	55.0 ±5 %		1.06 ±5 %	
1450	54.0 ±5 %		1.30 ±5 %	
1610	53.8 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1800	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
1900	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2000	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2100	53.2 ±5 %		1.62 ±5 %	
2450	52.7 ±5 %		1.95 ±5 %	
2600	52.5 ±5 %		2.16 ±5 %	
3000	52.0 ±5 %		2.73 ±5 %	
3500	51.3 ±5 %		3.31 ±5 %	
5200	49.0 ±10 %		5.30 ±10 %	
5300	48.9 ±10 %		5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %		5.53 ±10 %	
5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %		5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %		6.00 ±10 %	

7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 56.7 sigma : 1.08
Distance between dipole center and liquid	15.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	900 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)
	measured	measured
900	11.34 (1.13)	7.15 (0.72)







8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	**Manufacturer / Model**	**Identification No.**	**Current Calibration Date**	**Next Calibration Date**
SAM Phantom	Satimo	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2016	02/2019
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	12/2016	12/2019
Reference Probe	Satimo	EPG122 SN 18/11	10/2018	10/2019
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2016	12/2019
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2016	12/2019
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2016	12/2019
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2016	12/2019
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	8/2016	8/2019

5.4 SID1800 Dipole Calibration Certificate

SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.287.6.14.SATU.A

SHENZHEN LCS COMPLIANCE TESTING LABORATORY LTD.
1F., XINGYUAN INDUSTRIAL PARK, TONGDA ROAD, BAO'AN BLVD
BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, CHINA
SATIMO COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE
FREQUENCY: 1800 MHZ
SERIAL NO.: SN 07/14 DIP 1G800-301

Calibrated at SATIMO US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144

ACCREDITED
Calibration CERT #2246-02

10/01/2018

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	10/14/2018	
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	10/14/2018	
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	10/14/2018	Kim Rutkowski

	Customer Name
Distribution :	Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd.

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	10/14/2018	Initial release

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR 1800 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE
Manufacturer	Satimo
Model	SID1800
Serial Number	SN 07/14 DIP 1G800-301
Product Condition (new / used)	New

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.

Figure 1 – *Satimo COMOSAR Validation Dipole*

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constucted as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %
10 g	20.1 %

6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
1800	-20.26	-20	43.1 Ω + 6.9 jΩ

6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	L mm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.	PASS	41.7 ±1 %.	PASS	3.6 ±1 %.	PASS
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.		30.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2600	48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε_r')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	
1800	40.0 ±5 %	PASS	1.40 ±5 %	PASS
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %		1.80 ±5 %	
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 41.3 sigma : 1.38
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm

Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	1800 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4	38.13 (3.81)	20.1	20.20 (2.02)
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4		24	
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	







7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε,')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 ±5 %		0.80 ±5 %	
300	58.2 ±5 %		0.92 ±5 %	
450	56.7 ±5 %		0.94 ±5 %	
750	55.5 ±5 %		0.96 ±5 %	
835	55.2 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
900	55.0 ±5 %		1.05 ±5 %	
915	55.0 ±5 %		1.06 ±5 %	
1450	54.0 ±5 %		1.30 ±5 %	
1610	53.8 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1800	53.3 ±5 %	PASS	1.52 ±5 %	PASS
1900	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2000	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2100	53.2 ±5 %		1.62 ±5 %	
2450	52.7 ±5 %		1.95 ±5 %	
2600	52.5 ±5 %		2.16 ±5 %	
3000	52.0 ±5 %		2.73 ±5 %	
3500	51.3 ±5 %		3.31 ±5 %	
5200	49.0 ±10 %		5.30 ±10 %	
5300	48.9 ±10 %		5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %		5.53 ±10 %	
5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %		5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %		6.00 ±10 %	

7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 53.3 sigma : 1.51
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	1800 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.287.6.14.SATU.A

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)
	measured	measured
1800	39.03 (3.90)	20.65 (2.07)







Page: 10/11

8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	**Manufacturer / Model**	**Identification No.**	**Current Calibration Date**	**Next Calibration Date**
SAM Phantom	Satimo	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2016	02/2019
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	12/2016	12/2019
Reference Probe	Satimo	EPG122 SN 18/11	10/2018	10/2019
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2016	12/2019
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2016	12/2019
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2016	12/2019
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2016	12/2019
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	8/2016	8/2019

5.5 SID2000 Dipole Calibration Ceriticate

SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.287.7.14.SATU.A

SHENZHEN LCS COMPLIANCE TESTING LABORATORY LTD.
1F., XINGYUAN INDUSTRIAL PARK, TONGDA ROAD, BAO'AN BLVD
BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, CHINA
SATIMO COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE
FREQUENCY: 2000 MHZ
SERIAL NO.: SN 07/14 DIP 2G000-305

Calibrated at SATIMO US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144

ACCREDITED
Calibration CERT #2246-02

10/01/2018

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	10/14/2018	
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	10/14/2018	
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	10/14/2018	Kim Rutkowski

	Customer Name
Distribution :	Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd.

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	10/14/2018	Initial release

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR 2000 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE
Manufacturer	Satimo
Model	SID2000
Serial Number	SN 07/14 DIP 2G000-305
Product Condition (new / used)	New

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.

Figure 1 – *Satimo COMOSAR Validation Dipole*

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constucted as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %
10 g	20.1 %

6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2000	-23.67	-20	50.8 Ω - 6.2 jΩ

6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	L mm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.	PASS	37.5 ±1 %.	PASS	3.6 ±1 %.	PASS
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.		30.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2600	48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε_r')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	
1800	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %	PASS	1.40 ±5 %	PASS
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %		1.80 ±5 %	
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 39.7 sigma : 1.43
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm

Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	2000 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1	43.00 (4.30)	21.1	21.20 (2.12)
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4		24	
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	





7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε_r')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 ±5 %		0.80 ±5 %	
300	58.2 ±5 %		0.92 ±5 %	
450	56.7 ±5 %		0.94 ±5 %	
750	55.5 ±5 %		0.96 ±5 %	
835	55.2 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
900	55.0 ±5 %		1.05 ±5 %	
915	55.0 ±5 %		1.06 ±5 %	
1450	54.0 ±5 %		1.30 ±5 %	
1610	53.8 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1800	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
1900	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2000	53.3 ±5 %	PASS	1.52 ±5 %	PASS
2100	53.2 ±5 %		1.62 ±5 %	
2450	52.7 ±5 %		1.95 ±5 %	
2600	52.5 ±5 %		2.16 ±5 %	
3000	52.0 ±5 %		2.73 ±5 %	
3500	51.3 ±5 %		3.31 ±5 %	
5200	49.0 ±10 %		5.30 ±10 %	
5300	48.9 ±10 %		5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %		5.53 ±10 %	
5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %		5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %		6.00 ±10 %	

7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 53.9 sigma : 1.53
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	2000 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)
	measured	measured
2000	45.84 (4.58)	22.30 (2.23)





8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	**Manufacturer / Model**	**Identification No.**	**Current Calibration Date**	**Next Calibration Date**
SAM Phantom	Satimo	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2016	02/2019
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	12/2016	12/2019
Reference Probe	Satimo	EPG122 SN 18/11	10/2018	10/2019
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2016	12/2019
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2016	12/2019
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2016	12/2019
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2016	12/2019
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	8/2016	8/2019

5.6 SID2450 Dipole Calibration Ceriticate

SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.287.8.14.SATU.A

SHENZHEN LCS COMPLIANCE TESTING LABORATORY LTD.
1F., XINGYUAN INDUSTRIAL PARK, TONGDA ROAD, BAO'AN BLVD
BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, CHINA
SATIMO COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE
FREQUENCY: 2450 MHZ
SERIAL NO.: SN 07/14 DIP 2G450-306

Calibrated at SATIMO US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144

Calibration CERT #2246-02

10/01/2018

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	10/14/2018	
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	10/14/2018	
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	10/14/2018	Kim Rutkowski

	Customer Name
Distribution :	Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd.

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	10/14/2018	Initial release

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE
Manufacturer	Satimo
Model	SID2450
Serial Number	SN 07/14 DIP 2G450-306
Product Condition (new / used)	New

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.

Figure 1 – *Satimo COMOSAR Validation Dipole*

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constucted as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %
10 g	20.1 %

6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-25.59	-20	44.7 Ω - 1.1 jΩ

6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	L mm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.	PASS	30.4 ±1 %.	PASS	3.6 ±1 %.	PASS
2600	48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε_r')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	
1800	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %	PASS	1.80 ±5 %	PASS
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 39.0 sigma : 1.77
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm

Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4	53.89 (5.39)	24	24.15 (2.42)
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	





Page: 8/11

7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε_r')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 ±5 %		0.80 ±5 %	
300	58.2 ±5 %		0.92 ±5 %	
450	56.7 ±5 %		0.94 ±5 %	
750	55.5 ±5 %		0.96 ±5 %	
835	55.2 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
900	55.0 ±5 %		1.05 ±5 %	
915	55.0 ±5 %		1.06 ±5 %	
1450	54.0 ±5 %		1.30 ±5 %	
1610	53.8 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1800	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
1900	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2000	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2100	53.2 ±5 %		1.62 ±5 %	
2450	52.7 ±5 %	PASS	1.95 ±5 %	PASS
2600	52.5 ±5 %		2.16 ±5 %	
3000	52.0 ±5 %		2.73 ±5 %	
3500	51.3 ±5 %		3.31 ±5 %	
5200	49.0 ±10 %		5.30 ±10 %	
5300	48.9 ±10 %		5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %		5.53 ±10 %	
5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %		5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %		6.00 ±10 %	

7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 53.0 sigma : 1.93
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)
	measured	measured
2450	54.65 (5.46)	24.58 (2.46)







8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	**Manufacturer / Model**	**Identification No.**	**Current Calibration Date**	**Next Calibration Date**
SAM Phantom	Satimo	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2016	02/2019
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	12/2016	12/2019
Reference Probe	Satimo	EPG122 SN 18/11	10/2018	10/2019
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2016	12/2019
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2016	12/2019
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2016	12/2019
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2016	12/2019
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	8/2016	8/2019

6. SAR System PHOTOGRAPHS

Liquid depth≧15cm

7. SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

Body Setup photo(Front 0mm)



Body Setup photo(Back 0mm)



8.EUT PHOTOGRAPHS



Fig.1



Fig.2



Fig.3

.....................The End of Test Report.........................